Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,783	RABINOWITZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mina Haghighatian	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Mina Haghighatian.	(3)	
(2) Barry Swanson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 27, 28, 31, 32, 39, 40, 48, 49 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
•		
- Miles		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Swanson to discuss a potential new matter issue with the specification and certain claims. It was suggested to Mr. Swanson to change the particle size range of claims 27, 31, 39 and 48 to read "0.1 to 5" and adding the term "about" before "0.2" and "3" in claims 28, 32, 40 and 49. Specification was suggested to be amended to include the same range also. Mr. Swanson agreed to all the suggestions and it was decided to have the amendments done by an Examiner's amendment (attached).